$\neg$	⊏	v	1
_	_	^	- 1

Copy	10	oi	11	

23 February 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Administration

THROUGH

Comeur. Para. 2 agrees with my : Deputy Project Director understanding of the requirements. However, I understand that there is

25X1

BUBJECT

Billeting

l civilian in Det. A below rade 7

25X1

25X1

REFERENCE

dated 6 February 1956

i. I am in agreement with your feeling that we accept USAF billoting standards The points that you cited, particularly those in paragraph s or your memorandum, emphasise the fact that the best and most secure arrangement will be that which "follows the USAF book". To do otherwise would, as you say, attract under attention as well as invite merale problems.

2. However, in adopting a policy of adhering to USAF practices, I believe we must "fellow the book" consistently with regard to CIA civilians as well as USAF airmen. Your paragraph 4 raised the question in my mind as to whether all Agency civilians are, in fact, entitled to officer-equivalent treatment. A discussion of this subject during our meeting at the Pentagon on 16 February left me with the impression that all detachment civilians have a grade of Go-7 or higher, and thus are entitled to officer-equivalent treatment. Consequently, there would appear to be no need for documenting CIA civilians higher than their actual grade. Would you advise me if my understanding of this is incorrect?

RICHARD M. BISSELL, JR. Project Director

RMB:gis (23 Feb 56)

Distribution:

Cy I- Dir of dunia

2- RMB Project Chrone

3- Dep Prej Dir

4- Detach A

5- Die de Ope 6- Security

Cy 7- Legistics

8- Finance

9- Admin/Personnel

10-Lithided Chrono

11- Project Reading